

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/686,824	Applicant(s) FURST ET AL.	
	Examiner THOMAS RICHARDSON	Art Unit 4121	

All Participants:

(1) THOMAS RICHARDSON.

(2) Patrick R. Roche.

Date of Interview: _____

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-33

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner initiated telephone interview for restriction election. Examiner propped election of two restricted inventions of applications. Applicant's attorney elected claims 1-24, directed toward services, and to cancel claims 25-33 directed toward an authorization scheme.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Taghi T. Arani/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4121

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

